From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	DE AMINING AUTHORITY	CEIV	ED (		
To: MICHAEL J. MALLIE BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYOR & ZA 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 7TH LOS ANGELES, CA 90025	FMAN	IUN 1 7 2004 Kolofe Taylor & 7a	PCT FSTRE		
LUS ANGELES, CA 90025	Smithel, WV	LOS ANGELES	WRITTEN OPINION WRITTEN OPINION WRITTEN OPINION		
			(PCT Rule 66)		
			LA FIF DEFT		
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	14 JUN 2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE			
P11085PCT		within 2 months/days from the above date of mailing			
International application No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US02/19647	21 June 2002 (21.06.200				
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classificat	ion and IPC			
IPC(7): H04L12/56; H04Q7/32 and US	Cl.: 370/311		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicant INTEL CORPORATION					
1. This written opinion is the fir	st_(first, etc,) drawn by t	his International Pre	liminary Examining Authority.		
2. This opinion contains indication	ons relating to the following	ng items:			
I Basis of the opinion	· ·		·		
	n				
II Priority					
III Non-establishmen	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of in	evention				
	nt under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) vanations supporting such st		ty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI Certain documents	s cited				
VII Certain defects in	the international application	on	1		
VIII Certain observation	ons on the international app	olication			
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.					
When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit this Authority to grant an extension. See rule 66.2(d).			ore the expiration of that time limit, request		
How? By submitting For the form :					
For the exami					
If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.					
4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 29 October 2003 (29.10.2003)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized office			
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		AJIT PATEL	for Remail		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		· ·			
Faccimile No. (703)305 3220		Telephone No. 7	UJ-4/UU		

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

## WRITTEN OPINION

PCT/US02/19647

I.	Basi	s of the opinion				
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*				
	$\boxtimes$	the international application as originally filed				
	$\boxtimes$	the description:				
		pages 1-13, as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of				
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:				
		pages 14-26, as originally filed				
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of				
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:				
		pages 1-5, as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of				
		the sequence listing part of the description:				
	_	pages NONE , as originally filed				
		pages NONE , filed with the demand				
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of				
2.	lang	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the anguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:				
	П	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).				
	$\sqcap$	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).				
3.		With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written pinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:				
	$\dot{\Box}$	contained in the international application in printed form.				
	П	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	П	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
	П	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the				
	$\overline{}$	international application as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.				
4.	$\boxtimes$	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description, pages NONE				
		the claims, Nos. NONE				
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE				
_	$\Box$	<del></del>				
5.	Ш	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed."						
		·				

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US02/19647

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. STATEMENT						
Novelty (N)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YES			
	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO			
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YES			
	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO			
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	YES			
	Claims	Please See Continuation Sheet	NO			

## 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1,7,9,14,21,27,32,40,46,50,56,61 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Yeung et al (6,061,365). yeung et al disclose a control message transmission in telecommunications system incorporating the step of combining at least two separate information packets into a transmission packet by an information-processing unit (col. 12, line 31-40; col. 18, line 44-55); and eliminating at least one power up cycle and one power down cycle by transmitting the transmission packet (col. 12, line 31-40; col. 18, line 44-55).

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)

WRITTEN OPINION		International application No. PCT/US02/19647			
Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding the space in any of the sp	eding boxes is not sufficient)				
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.					
·					
V.1. Reasoned Statements: The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 2-6,8,10-13,15-20,22-26,28-31,33-39,41-45,47-49,51-55,57-60,62-64 The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1,7,9,14,21,27,32,40,46,50,56,61 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 2-6,8,10-13,15-20,22-26,28-31,33-39,41-45,47-49,51-55,57-60,62-64					
The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was	positive (YES) with respect to clai	ms 1-64			